Duality in Linear Programming: A Summary

WARNING: this is just a summary of the material covered in the full slide-deck **Duality in Linear Programming** that will orient you as per the topics covered there; you are required to learn the full version, not just this summary!

- The dual of a linear program: motivation
- Formal definition, the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions (optional)
- Primal–dual relationships, the Weak and the Strong Duality Theorems
- The Farkas Lemma (optional)

• Consider the below linear program

$$z = \max x_{1} + 2x_{2} - x_{3}$$

s.t. $3x_{1} + 2x_{2} + x_{3} \leq 12$
 $-x_{1} - x_{3} \leq -3$
 $x_{1}, -x_{2}, -x_{3} \geq 0$

- We give upper bounds for the objective function
- Since variables are nonnegative, the first constraint is immediately an upper bound

$$z = x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \le 3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \le 12$$

- Since component-wise $x_1 \leq 3x_1$, $2x_2 \leq 2x_2$, and $-x_3 \leq x_3$
- Is there any tighter upper bound?

• Summing the two constraints

z =	x_1	+	$2x_2$		x_3		
	$3x_1$	+	$2x_2$	+	x_3	\leq	12
\oplus	$-x_1$			—	x_3	\leq	-3
	$2x_1$	+	$2x_2$	+	$0x_3$	\leq	9

- Yields the tighter bound $z = x_1 + 2x_2 x_3 \le 2x_1 + 2x_2 \le 9$
- Even tighter bound is obtained if we add two times the second constraint to the first one:

$$z = x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \le (3 - 2 \times 1)x_1 + (2 - 2 \times 0)x_2 + (1 - 2 \times 1)x_3 \le 6$$

• This is the tightest possible bound, since the optimal objective function value is z=6

• In fact, for any $w_1 \ge 0$ and $w_2 \ge 0$ for which the expression

$$w_1 \left(3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \right) + w_2 \left(-x_1 - x_3 \right) \le 12w_1 - 3w_2$$

component-wise upper bounds the objective function $z = x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3$, that is, for which

 $3w_1 - w_2 \ge 1$, $2w_1 \ge 2$, and $w_1 - w_2 \ge -1$

holds, we get a new upper bound:

$$z = x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \le w_1 \left(3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \right) + w_2 \left(-x_1 - x_3 \right)$$

- $w_1 \ge 0$ and $w_2 \ge 0$ needed, otherwise the sign would change
- The tightest bound is the one for which $12w_1 + (-3)w_2$ is minimal

• Yields another linear program: the **dual linear program**:

min	$12w_{1}$	 $3w_2$		
s.t.	$3w_1$	 w_2	\geq	1
	$2w_1$		\geq	2
	w_1	 w_2	\geq	-1
	$w_1,$	w_2	\geq	0

- To distinguish, the original linear program will be called the **primal**
- For the primal $\max\{c^T x : Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$ we get the dual $\min\{w^T b : w^T A \ge c^T, w^T \ge 0\}$
- Interestingly, the dual optimal solution is also 6
- In fact this is guaranteed to hold and, what is more, there are very deep relationships between the primal and the dual

• **Theorem:** given a linear program as a maximization problem in the **standard form**

 $\max \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x}$ s.t. $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$ $\boldsymbol{x} \ge \boldsymbol{0}$

the dual is the standard form minimization problem

min $\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{b}$ s.t. $\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{v}^T = \boldsymbol{c}^T$ $\boldsymbol{v}^T \ge \boldsymbol{0}, \ \boldsymbol{w}^T$ arbitrary

• One dual variable for each constraint of the primal and one dual constraint for each variable of the primal

- The variables v^T and w^T are called **dual variables** (or Lagrangean multipliers)
- The dual variables $w^T = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & \dots & w_m \end{bmatrix}$ correspond to the primal constraints Ax = b: for every constraint $a^i x = b_i$ there is a dual variable w_i , precisely m
- The dual variables $v^T = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix}$ correspond to the nonnegativity constraints for the primal variables x: for every constraint $x_j \ge 0$ there is a dual variable v_j , exactly n
- In fact, \boldsymbol{v}^T act as slack-variables that we can as well omit

min
$$\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{b}$$

s.t. $\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{A} \geq \boldsymbol{c}^T$
 \boldsymbol{w}^T arbitrary

The Primal and the Dual: Canonical Form

• In general, the primal and dual in canonical form:

$$P: \max c^T x$$
 $D: \min w^T b$ s.t. $Ax \le b$ $s.t.w^T A \ge c^T$ $x \ge 0$ $w^T \ge 0$

	Maximization problem		Minimization problem	
aint	\geq	\longleftrightarrow	≤ 0	ole
nstra	\leq	\longleftrightarrow	≥ 0	ariak
ပိ	—	\longleftrightarrow	arbitrary	>
ele	≥ 0	\longleftrightarrow	\geq	aint
ariab	≤ 0	\longleftrightarrow	\leq	nstra
>	arbitrary	\longleftrightarrow	—	S

	Primal	Dual
Standard form	$\max \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x}$ s.t. $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$ $\boldsymbol{x} \ge \boldsymbol{0}$	$\min oldsymbol{w}^T oldsymbol{b}$ s.t. $oldsymbol{w}^T oldsymbol{A} \geq oldsymbol{c}^T$ $oldsymbol{w}^T$ arbitrary
Canonical form	$\begin{array}{l} \max \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \ \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \leq \boldsymbol{b} \\ \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{0} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \min \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{b} \\ \text{s.t.} \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{A} \geq \boldsymbol{c}^T \\ \boldsymbol{w}^T \geq \boldsymbol{0} \end{array} \end{array} $

Primal–Dual Relationships

- **Theorem:** the dual of the dual linear program is the primal
- The Weak Duality Theorem: the objective function value for *any* feasible solution for the primal maximization problem is less than, or equal to the objective function value for *any* feasible solution for the dual minimization problem
- Note the importance of the *any* quantification: *any* primal-feasible x gives a lower bound $c^T x$ for the dual, and of course *any* dual-feasible w^T gives an upper bound $w^T b$ for the primal

• Corollaries:

- if x is primal-feasible, w^T is dual-feasible, and $c^T x = w^T b$, then x is optimal in the primal and w^T is optimal in the dual
- if the primal is unbounded then the dual is infeasible and vice versa

Primal–Dual Relationships

- The Strong Duality Theorem: for the primal-dual pair of linear programs exactly one of the below claims holds true
 - \circ the primal has an optimal solution \bar{x} and the dual also has an optimal solution \bar{w}^T , and $c^T \bar{x} = \bar{w}^T b$
 - one of the problems is unbounded and therefore the other is infeasible
 - neither problem is feasible

P optimal	\iff	D optimal
P unbounded	\Longrightarrow	D infeasible
D unbounded	\Longrightarrow	P infeasible
P infeasible	\Longrightarrow	D unbounded or infeasible
D infeasible	\Longrightarrow	P unbounded or infeasible

• Solve the below linear program

• In standard form:

- Find an initial feasible basis
- The trivial choice would be to choose the columns of the slack variables into the initial basis, in particular if $B = \{x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7\}$ then $B = B^{-1} = I_4$
- Unfortunately, this trivial basis is not (primal) feasible, since $ar{b}=B^{-1}b=b
 ot\ge 0$
- Let us write the dual, in the hope that it will be easier to find an initial basis for that

 Converting to standard form and rewriting the objective as a maximization problem (note to ourselves: we'll need to invert the resultant objective function due to the min ⇒ max conversion!)

• The slack variables form an initial feasible basis, as

$$oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{B}^{-1} = -oldsymbol{I}_3 ext{ and } oldsymbol{B}^{-1}oldsymbol{b} = egin{bmatrix} 5 \ 2 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} \geq oldsymbol{0}$$

• Use the (primal) simplex from here (invert all constraints!)

• The initial simplex tableau:

	z	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	RHS
z	1	1	3	-5	-2	0	0	0	0
w_5	0	1	2	5	-5	1	0	0	5
w_6	0	2	2	-1	-3	0	1	0	2
w_7	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1

- Recall the pivot rules
 - optimality condition: $z_k = \min_{j \in N} z_j \ge 0$
 - k enters the basis, if $k = \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in N} z_j$

•
$$r$$
 leaves the basis, if $r = \operatorname*{argmin}_{i \in \{1,...,m\}} \left\{ \frac{\overline{b}_i}{y_{ik}} : y_{ik} > 0 \right\}$

• So w_3 enters and w_5 (or w_7) leaves the basis

• After the first pivot

	z	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	w_7	RHS
z	1	2	5	0	-7	1	0	0	5
w_3	0	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	1	-1	$\frac{1}{5}$	0	0	1
w_6	0	$\frac{11}{5}$	$\frac{12}{5}$	0	-4	$\frac{1}{5}$	1	0	3
w_7	0	$-\frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{2}{5}$	0	2	$-\frac{1}{5}$	0	1	0

- w_4 enters and w_7 leaves the basis, and so on
- The optimal dual solution: $\boldsymbol{w}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- The optimal objective function value is -5, since we must invert the result due to the $\min \Rightarrow \max$ objective function conversion
- This is the optimum of the primal as well (Strong Theorem)